IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 2501 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION : 4.12.2006

Naresh Kumar

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Union of India and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

PRESENT: Mr.Sushil Gautam, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms.Daya Chaudhary,Assistant Solicitor General of India

M.M.KUMAR,J.

The petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 7.9.2005 (P-3) asking him to show cause why he was supporting a turf of hair on the back of his head and it was considered against the existing rules. He was required to explain in writing if he had any permission to do so and if not then why disciplinary proceedings be not initiated against him. In response to the notice to show cause, the petitioner has filed his reply dated 30.9.2005 (P-6).

Ms.Daya Chaudhary, learned Assistant Solicitor General of India has placed on record a communication dated 4.12.2006 showing that an intimation has been received from Head Quarter, WAC that at present, the authorities are not insisting upon the individual to cut his 'choti' after treating it to have been kept before joining the Indian Air Force. Therefore,

the petitioner is not being asked to cut his turf. The aforementioned letter is taken on record as 'Mark A'.

In view of the above, this writ petition is allowed and order dated 7.9.2005 (Annexure P-3) is quashed. The petition stands disposed of.

(M.M.KUMAR)
JUDGE

December 4 ,2006 (M.M.S.BEDI)
TSM JUDGE